Forward Looking Statements / Disclaimers

The information contained in this presentation has been prepared to assist you in making your own evaluation of the company and does not purport to contain all of the information you may consider important. Any estimates or projections with respect to future performance have been provided to assist you in your evaluation but should not be relied upon as an accurate representation of future results.

Certain statements, estimates and financial information contained in this presentation constitute forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from the results implied or expressed in such forward-looking statements. While presented with numerical specificity, certain forward-looking statements are based (1) upon assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, economic, regulatory, environmental, seasonal and competitive uncertainties, contingencies and risks including, without limitation, our ability to reduce costs and improve operating and financial performance, our assumptions regarding the Piceance basin and the continued low gas price differential in the Piceance basin, our assumptions regarding regional demand and pipeline capacity, our ability to continue to drill successfully, our assumptions regarding fracturing size and reserves, our assumptions regarding drilling and completion capital expense, our ability to continue significant drilling time and cost reductions, our ability to pursue and successfully complete our go-forward business plan, including our ability to grow our asset position, re-start our completion program in 2016, re-start our drilling program, integrate acreage recently acquired, successfully leverage scale, maintain our low-leveraged balance sheet and active hedging program, and achieve production, reserve, and EBITDA growth, and other known and unknown risks (all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the company's control) and (2) upon assumptions with respect to future business decisions that are subject to change.

There can be no assurance that the results implied or expressed in such forward-looking statements or the underlying assumptions will be realized and that actual results of operations or future events will not be materially different from the results implied or expressed in such forward-looking statements. Under no circumstances should the inclusion of the forward-looking statements be regarded as a representation, undertaking, warranty or prediction by the company or any other person with respect to the accuracy thereof or the accuracy of the underlying assumptions, or that the company will achieve or is likely to achieve any particular results. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof and the company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly or to revise any of the forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by applicable law. Recipients are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and, accordingly, recipients are expressly cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein.

Statements of reserves are only estimates and may not correspond to the ultimate quantities of oil and gas recovered. Any reserve estimates provided in this presentation may include estimated reserves not necessarily calculated in accordance with, or contemplated by, the SEC’s reserves reporting guidelines. Resource estimates do not take into account the certainty of resource recovery and are therefore not indicative of the expected future recovery and should not be relied upon. Resource estimates might never be recovered and are contingent on exploration success, technical improvements in drilling access, commerciality and other factors.
Laramie Energy, LLC is a Denver-based natural gas and oil development company funded by management, public, and private equity.

- **Public Equity:** Par Pacific Holdings
- **Private Equity:** Avista, EnCap, APriori, Wells Fargo, & Energy Trust
- **Bank Funding:** JP Morgan Chase, Wells Fargo, US Bank, CIBC, and Capital One

Laramie’s fundamental business strategy has changed from an early “prove and move” strategy to a full scale production growth strategy

- **Piceance Basin Focused (Western Colorado)**
  - Williams Fork Tight Gas Sand Play
  - Mancos Shale Gas Play (includes Niobrara)
- **Concentration leads to reduced costs, EUR improvement, and more robust development economics**
Colorado’s Piceance Basin

- One of North America’s largest accumulations of natural gas
  - 5 of the top 50 gas fields in the USA
  - Peaked at over 1.6 Bcf/d of production
  - 20% of all Rockies gas production
  - 84% gas, 16% NGLs and condensate
- Primary operators: Terra, EnCana, ExxonMobil, Laramie, Ursa, Caerus, Legacy
- Core area across Mesa, Garfield, Rio Blanco Counties with virtually all acreage locked up by existing operators
- Over 12,000 wells drilled to date in the Williams Fork play
- Emerging deeper play delineated with over 60 vertical and horizontal wells in the Mancos / Niobrara
Laramie Energy – Brief History

- **Laramie I (2004 to 2007)**
  - Invested $210 million of equity and $180 million of bank debt in the Piceance Basin
  - Drilled over 200 wells by ramping up to a 5-rig program
  - Built-out the area’s midstream system
  - Sold to Plains Exploration & Production (PXP) for approximately $1.1 billion in May 2007

- **Laramie Energy, LLC (2007 – present)**
  - 2007 – Restarted as a new company with the same private equity investors
  - 2008 – Ran 4 rigs in the Piceance Basin before pulling back due to declining gas prices.
  - 2012 - Acquired all of the bankrupt Delta Petroleum’s assets in the Piceance Basin, primarily by issuing new equity to Delta’s bondholders through Delta’s Plan of Reorganization.
    - Delta emerged from bankruptcy under new management as Par Pacific Holdings, Inc. as a successful operating company and significant Laramie Energy equity owner (NYSE MKT: PARR)
  - 3/1/2016 – Acquired +1,100 well asset base and approximately 72,000 net lease acres plus 24,000 mineral acres in the Piceance Basin including all of Laramie I’s previous assets sold to PXP in 2007
Piceance Basin Keys for Success

**Land / Contracts**
- High lease Net Revenue Interests (NRI’s)
- Favorable Surface Use Agreements (surface ownership is valuable)
- Optionality in Gathering & Processing Contracts

**Operations**
- Manufacturing style drilling program
- Large volume sandless slickwater fracs
  - Larger fracs, larger EUR’s
  - Sandless fracs reduce up-front capital & future LOE
  - Larger fracs require a change in drilling pattern
- Large scale water infrastructure lowers overall water handling costs
- Low operating costs (< $0.50/Mcfe)
Unlike the Eastern U.S., the Piceance Basin has completely unconstrained pipeline takeaway capacity due to the construction of REX and Ruby pipelines and expansions of Kern River and Northwest pipelines during 2007–2010.

- Western market dynamics underpin a low Piceance Basin gas price differential for foreseeable future.
  - Demand growth projected across Western U.S. and Mexico (and potential for LNG exports by 2018).
  - Production from San Juan Basin (NM) and Uinta Basin (UT) expected to decline.

Potential LNG Exports by 2018 from Jordan Cove LNG Project.
Rockies Differentials to NYMEX vs. Eastern U.S.

Attractive Rockies basis differentials due to regional demand and ample pipeline capacity

Northwest Rockies Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rockies Basis Strengthening</th>
<th>2007 – 2010</th>
<th>2011 to now</th>
<th>3-yr fwd. strip (as of 9/9/15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($1.76)</td>
<td>($0.19)</td>
<td>($0.23)</td>
<td>Increase $1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dominion South Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eastern Basis Weakening</th>
<th>2007 – 2010</th>
<th>2011 to now</th>
<th>3-yr fwd. strip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ $0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($0.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northwest Rockies Index:
- ($0.19) increase
- ($0.23) increase
- (1.76) decrease

Dominion South Index:
- ($1.06) decrease

Attractive Rockies basis differentials due to regional demand and ample pipeline capacity.
Laramie Overview – Summary Information

- 100% focused in Piceance Basin
- ±1,200 operated producing wells, ±400 non-operated
- All vertical/directional except for 2 horizontal wells
- Production (June 2016): Net (w/ OBO)
  - Gas: 109 MMcfd
  - Condensate: 310 Bpd
  - NGL’s: 4,700 Bpd
  - Equivalent: 140 MMcfed
- Acreage: 367,027 Gross Acres / 136,000 Net Acres (+ 23,756 Acres Mineral Interest)
- Drillable Locations:
  - Mesaverde: ±8,000 (10’s)
  - Mancos Shale: ±5,000 vertical (20’s) or 3,600 horizontal (320’s)
- 1P Reserves: 1.1 Tcfe Proved Mesaverde + 8 Mancos PDP wells
- 2P Reserves: 8.2 Tcfe Add Probable Mesaverde locations
- 3P Reserves: 37 Tcfe Add Possible Mancos locations
Primary Producing Formations

**Williams Fork**
- Basin centered gas
- Fluvial deposition
- 3000 feet of stacked sand bars averaging 6 acres in size
- Sourced by Cameo Coals & Mancos
- Gas column increases w/ depth
- Liquids-rich (1100 Btu w/ NGLs)
- Drilled on 10-acre density

**Mancos**
- Deepwater marine shale
- Bottom 1/3\(^{rd}\) is the Niobrara
- 3800 feet of productive shale
- Over-pressured (>18ppg) in heart of basin
- Dry gas (slightly <1000 Btu/scf)
Williams Fork Formation
- Stacked, tight-sand gas reservoir
- Developed on 10-acre density using directional pad drilling
- 16 to 28 wells per pad to minimize surface footprint
- Called “Mesaverde” formation when combined with Iles zones

Laramie’s current drilling pattern:
- 3-columns of 21-wells
- Drilled from 3 pads per section
- 63 wells per 640-acre section

Map View: 640-acres, 1 square mile

Williams Fork tight sand reservoirs, interbedded with shales and coal formations
Drilling – Demonstrating Repeatable Performance

Significant Drill Cost & Time Reductions Achieved on Williams Fork Wells

Last 2 Pads:
4.5 days/well
Drilled & cased for $433k
**Completions Update**

**Cost Savings Through Sand-less Completions**

- Savings from propantless fracs allows for increased job size without increasing AFE.
- Testing indicates no differences between sand and sandless completions.

**Cost Reduction**

Cost reduction through efficiency, not reduction in contract rate.

- Originally completed 2 wells at a time.
- Currently completing 5-6 wells at a time to increase water recycling on pad.
- Still increasing recoveries while reducing per unit costs.
Completions - Frac Size Vs Reserves (WF)

Frac size (water volume) generally correlates to reserves

EUR vs Completion Volume

28-11 Pad Averages
100,000 Bbls/Well

Upcoming Pads
120 – 150 MBBL/Well

Sand Fracs

Sup and Shep 25-11
Piceance 28-11

Completion Fluid (MBBL)
EUR (BCF)
Owned Facilities – Compression & Dehydration Assets

- 2 Major sites & 5 Minor sites
- 270 MMscfd / 45,000 HP in Compression Capacity
- Maintenance profile is excellent (high % runtime)
- Compression sites integrated to new drilling areas
- Current lease compression costs down 30% YTD

ConnCreek Facility
- CCI & CCII
- Propane Refrig with bullets (idle)
- Amine Treating Facility (idle)
- 2.6 MW (4160V) Power Plant (idle)

CC I – 45 MMscfd

MVS – 85 MMscfd (140 MM exp.)

CC II – 85 MMscfd
Owned Facilities – Water Storage & SWD Infrastructure

Cascade Creek
- 7 Ponds with 450k bbls of fresh & flowback storage
- Fully integrated pond, facility, SWD, & pipeline system
- 3 SWD’s w/ 2000 bwpd capacity

Collbran Valley
- 2x 500k bbls Treated Water ponds, +600k bbls permitted
- New DAF treatment facility (15k bwpd)
- New Frac Supply Pump Station (20 bpm)
- 1x 600k bbls Fresh Water pond
- Latest liner system for CO regulatory
- 6 SWD’s w/ 3500 bwpd capacity
May Snapshot Lease Operating Expenses

Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May LOE - Gross Operated $</th>
<th>May 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$/Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Labor</td>
<td>$511,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Salt Water Disposal</td>
<td>$326,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Compression</td>
<td>$201,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Storm Water Management</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Automation &amp; Telemetry</td>
<td>$127,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Chemicals</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Road &amp; Pad Maintenance, Signage</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Surface &amp; Misc Equipment M&amp;R</td>
<td>$49,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Safety, Health &amp; Environmental</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fuel, Power &amp; Potable Water</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Other (multiple categories)</td>
<td>$134,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Recurring LOE</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,734,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Operated Production (Mcf/Month) 4,056,532 (130.9 MMcfd)

Gross Operated LOE/Gross Mcf $0.427

Ongoing cost-cutting measures:
- Continued labor integration
- Working off inventories of chemicals and supplies
- Reverting to plunger-lift, cutting chemical cost (foamers)
- Shorten water hauling routes, use closest injectors
- Limit contractor usage (no crews/equipment on continuous payroll)
- Changing the culture from that of a major to an independent
## Drilling Program - Current D&C Cost Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost/Well</th>
<th>Collbran Valley</th>
<th>Cascade Creek</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road &amp; Pad (1/20(^{th}))</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$13,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rig Mob (1/20(^{th}))</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>$7,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill &amp; Case</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>$585,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>$415,000</td>
<td>$474,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equip</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$886,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,139,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Collbran Valley – Based on actual operational performance
- Cascade Creek – Based on extrapolation of Collbran Valley costs w/ adjustment for parasite string drilling
### Collbran Valley - Williams Fork

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIG Gas Price ($/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Nymex Oil Price ($/bbl)</th>
<th>NGL Price ($/gal)</th>
<th>NPV10 ($MM/Well)</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,423</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,775</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,128</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIG Gas Price ($/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Nymex Oil Price ($/bbl)</th>
<th>NGL Price ($/gal)</th>
<th>NPV10 ($MM/Well)</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>1,107</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>1,289</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>1,653</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>2,017</td>
<td>110%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>2,382</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cascade Creek - Williams Fork EURs (Bcf/Well)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIG Gas Price ($/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Nymex Oil Price ($/bbl)</th>
<th>NGL Price ($/gal)</th>
<th>NPV10 ($M/Well)</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>1,807</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>2,122</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Well Count Internal Rate of Return at Current Strip prices

ROR Count by Field Williams Fork Only

BDU
BRUSH CREEK
CASCADE CREEK
COLLBRAN VALLEY
EAST PLATEAU
HELLS GULCH
I-70 CORRIDOR
Mancos Shale 2nd Largest Shale Gas Resource Base in U.S.

Mancos / Niobrara Structure Map

- Deep structure is similar to shallower structure (Rollins) except the base of the syncline moves North
- Collbran Valley is situated at shallower drilling depths than Grand Valley
- Gas is richer at shallower depths but also lower pressure
- Oil rim is very thin and generally contains non-flowable hydrocarbons
Regional Mancos Stratigraphic Cross Section

Regional section shows consistency of Mancos Stratigraphy across basin

Laramie in Mancos/Niobrara Fairway with 8-14 MM/d IP30's
Mancos Resource Play with Stacked Horizontal Potential

Laramie Energy Offset By High IP/EUR H-Wells
Mancos Shale (includes Niobrara)
**Goal is to reduce drill and complete costs using latest lessons learned in the DJ Basin Niobrara Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIG Gas Price ($/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Nymex Oil Price ($/bbl)</th>
<th>NGL Price ($/gal)</th>
<th>NPV10 ($M/Well)</th>
<th>ROR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>(582)</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.75</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>2,366</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>3,349</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.25</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>4,332</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>5,314</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>7,280</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>9,245</td>
<td>120%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>11,211</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laramie Energy “Production Growth” Strategy Summary

- Premier position in a prolific gas resource basin with the following attributes:
  - Repeatable
  - Competitive returns
  - Long life
  - Consistent results
  - Significant running room
  - Operating Control
  - Mancos shale upside

- Laramie will continue to focus operations and build value by conducting a highly efficient, low-risk development program